Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,072	VOSS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632	

All Participants:

(1) *Shin-Lin Chen*.

(2) *Frank Eisenschenk*.

Status of Application: *After Final*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *20 August 2010*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
38

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed amending claim 38 to read "or a humanized murine TCR" and Mr. Eisenschenk agreed with the change.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Shin-Lin Chen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)